UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,790	06/27/2003	Neal C. Oliver	42P16531 6526	
8791 7590 06/19/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, PHILIP B	
SUNNYVALE	SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2155	
		,		
	,	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•	·		06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/607,790	OLIVER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip B. Tran	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MORE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE IS A STAT	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/8/	<u>′2004</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F	ate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>25/9/03 &amp; 11/8/04</u> .	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070608

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Narayana et al (Hereafter, Narayana), U.S. Pat. No. 6,577,635.

Regarding claim 1, Narayana teaches a method comprising:

labeling each received network packet with information identifying an associated flow and a queue in which the packet will await transmission [see Col. 4, Line 57 to Col. 5, Line 9];

mapping each packet into one of a plurality of queues to await transmission based on the packet's label identifiers [see Col. 9, Lines 46-65];

scheduling the packets in the queues for transmission [see Fig. 3 and Abstract and Col. 1, Line 66 to col. 2, Line 16 and Col. 7, Lines 54-63];

encapsulating the packets to form frames of uniform size [see Col. 5, Lines 18-35 and Col. 5, Lines 48-63]; and

transmitting the uniform frames through a switch fabric to a next destination [see Col. 8, Lines 10-41].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070608

Regarding claim 2, Narayana further teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising decapsulating a received frame of encapsulated packets [see Col. 4, Lines 36-41 and Col. 6, Lines 28-44].

Regarding claim 3, Narayana further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein labeling each packet to identify an associated flow and a queue in which the packet will await transmission comprises determining a flow associated with the packet based on the packet's source address and destination address [see Col. 5, Lines 48-63 and Col. 6, Lines 28-56 and Col. 9, Lines 16-45].

Regarding claim 4, Narayana further teaches the method of claim 3, wherein labeling each packet to identify an associated flow and a queue in which the packet will await transmission comprises determining a flow associated with the packet based on protocols associated with the packet [see Col. 3, Lines 26-43 and Col. 5, Lines 48-63].

Regarding claim 5, Narayana further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein labeling each packet to identify an associated flow and a queue in which the packet will await transmission comprises determining a traffic class to which the packet belongs [see Col. 6, Lines 28-63].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070608

Regarding claim 6, Narayana further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein encapsulating the packets to form frames of uniform size comprises encapsulating the packets to form frames of uniform size and adding headers that contain information for decoding each frame back into packets [see Col.4, Line 57 to Col. 5, Line 9].

Regarding claim 7, Narayana further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein encapsulating packets to form frames of uniform size comprises encapsulating packets to form frames of uniform size by merging multiple packets into one frame using multiplexing [see Figs. 3-5].

Regarding claim 8, Narayana further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein encapsulating packets to form frames of uniform size comprises encapsulating packets to form frames of uniform size by segmenting a packet and placing the packet segments into multiple frames using segmentation and reassembly [see Figs. 3-5 and Col. 5, Lines 18-63].

Claim 9 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Regarding claim 10, Narayana further teaches the apparatus of claim 9, further comprising an access unit coupled to the classification element through a switch to provide access to communications from the network [see Figs. 3-5 and Col. 6, Lines 56].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070608

Regarding claim 11, Narayana further teaches the apparatus of claim 9, further comprising an adjunct unit to perform signal processing functions [see Fig. 3 and Col. 8, Lines 42-53].

Regarding claim 12, Narayana further teaches the apparatus of claim 9, further comprising a switch coupled to the encapsulation element to transmit the scheduled packets to the next destination through the switch fabric [see Fig. 3 and Col. 5, Lines 36-43 and Col. 8, Lines 10-16].

Claim 13 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 14 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 2.

Regarding claim 15, Narayna further teaches the article of manufacture of claim 13, wherein the machine-accessible medium further includes content that causes the machine to remove one or more layer encapsulations from the received packet [see Col. 4, Lines 57 to Col. 5, Line 9 and Col. 8, Lines 22-41].

Claim 16 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to combination of claims 3 and 4.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070608

Regarding claim 17, Narayana further teaches the article of manufacture of claim 16, wherein the machine accessible medium including content that when accessed by the machine causes the machine to label each received network packet to identify an associated flow and a queue in which the packet will await transmission comprises machine accessible medium including content that when accessed by the machine causes the machine to determine a flow associated with the packet based on ports associated with the packet [see Figs. 3-5 and Col. 7, Lines 14-40].

Claim 18 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 5.

Claim 19 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 7.

Claim 21 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Narayana further teaches an access unit to provide access to communications from a network and a switch coupled to the access unit to receive and transmit packets [see Figs. 3-5 and Col. 5, Lines 36-43 and Col. 8, Lines 10-16].

Regarding claim 22, Narayana further teaches the system of claim 21, further comprising an adjunct unit coupled to the switch to perform digital signal processing (DSP) functions [see Fig. 3 and Col. 8, Lines 42-53].

Regarding claims 23-25, Narayana further teaches the system of claim 21, wherein the switch is a PCI-Express/Advanced Switching switch and wherein the switch

**Art Unit: 2155** 

Paper Dated 20070608

fabric is a PCI-Express/Advanced Switching fabric and wherein the switch fabric is an Ethernet fabric [see Fig. 3 and Col. 3, Lines 26-43 and Col. 5, Lines 18-35].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narayana et al (Hereafter, Narayana), U.S. Pat. No. 6,577,635.

Regarding claim 26, Narayana does not explicitly teach the system of claim 21. wherein the switch fabric is an InfiniBand fabric. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to implement the switch fabric as an infiniBand fabric because it would have provided a high bandwidth, low-latency computing, storage and

Art Unit: 2155

Paper Dated 20070608

management over a single fabric with servers and storage nodes interconnected by switches that tie all nodes together over a single high-performance network.

## Other References Cited

- 5. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - A) Burton et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,181,541.
  - B) Leitner et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,775,719.
  - C) Galand et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,188,698.
  - D) Honkasalo et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,091717.
  - E) Sriram, U.S. Pat. No. 5,463,620.
  - F) Levinson, U.S. Pat. No. 5,404,505.
  - G) Chen et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,975,638.
  - H) Milliken et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,526,062.
  - I) Sarkinen et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2003/0058880 A1.
  - J) Kim et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2002/0078196 A1.
- 6. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).

Serial Number: 10/607,790 Page 9 Paper Dated 20070608

Art Unit: 2155

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (571) 273-8300. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2155 June 08, 2007